

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,382	03/10/2004	Marian Trinkel	20811/0204770-US0	3246
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 03/09/200 ARBY P.C	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	)	JACKSON, JAKIEDA R		
Church Street New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/797,382	TRINKEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAKIEDA R. JACKSON	2626		

	JAKIEDA R. JACKSON	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07().)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office ther may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on     A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c</li> </ul>			ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Cor</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. If for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) in how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected for  Claim(s) objected for		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that litycheriah does not teach a computer-based audio module speak that is provided with speech data where the audio module speaks the speech data into the vobulary database so as to expand the vocabulary database in an automated manner. Neither the speech recognition system on the input device of the litycheriah speak speech data into a computer-based audio module so as to expand a vocabulary database in automated manner. However, litycheriah teaches a process of expanding vocabulary that uses synthetic speech (i.e. speech sits input in an automated manner, not uttered by a speaker). Itycheriah teaches that the input device 24 can alternatively be a speech synthesis and 31-35) and the input device is connected to a speech recognizer (explicitly shown in figure 1 and column 6, lines 40-42). Therefore, Applicant's arrouments are not persuasive.